UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/787,481	02/26/2004	Mark W. Triplett	04-111	7001
	7590 03/17/200 NG TECHNOLOGIES	EXAMINER		
300 SOUTH W	ACKER DRIVE	SHRESTHA, BIJENDRA K		
SUITE 3200 CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3691	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/17/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/787,481	TRIPLETT, MARK W.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		BIJENDRA K. SHRESTHA	3691			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Poeriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing adaptant term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[\	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 I	December 2008				
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 December 2008</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
٥,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
· ·	Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are pending in the application	า				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to: Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or alaction requirement				
اـــا(٥	ciain(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)∏ ac	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-50 are presented for examination. Applicant filed an amendment on 12/18/2008 amending claims 1, 14, 29 and 50. After careful consideration of applicant's arguments and amendments, new grounds of rejections of the claims necessitated by Applicant's amendment are established in the instant application as set forth in detail below. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Double Patenting

In response to nonstatutory double patenting rejection set by the Examiner, the Applicant amended the conflicting claim 1 so that they are no longer coextensive in scope. However, amendment did not place the claims 1, 29 and 50 in instant application as patentably distinct from the reference claim because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046.

As per claims 1, 29 and 50 of instant application, claims 51, 64 and 70 of applications 11/415,967 application teaches displaying a view of a value axis on a screen, displaying a display region comprising plurality of locations on screen, receiving command to select particular locations and changing view of value axis.

Therefore, claims 1, 29 and 50 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 51, 64 and 70 of copending Application No. '967.

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 1-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Regarding independent claims 1, 29 and 50, as best understood, it appears that the claimed method steps could simply be performed by mental process alone and are not statutory. Based on Supreme Court precedent, a proper process must be tied to another statutory class or transform underlying subject matter to a different state or

Art Unit: 3691

thing (*Diamond v. Diehr*, 450 U.S. 175, 184 (1981); *Parker v. Flook*, 437 U.S. 584, 588 n.9 (1978); *Gottschalk v. Benson*, 409 U.S. 63, 70 (1972); *Cochrane v. Deener*, 94 U.S. 780,787-88 (1876)). Since neither of these requirements is met by the claim, the method is not considered a patent eligible process under 35 U.S.C. 101. To qualify as a statutory process, the claim should positively recite the other statutory class to which it is tied, for example by identifying the apparatus that accomplished the method steps or positively reciting the subject matter that is being transformed, for example by identifying the material that is being changed to a different state. It is noted that in the instant claims, Applicant amended the independent claims to include recitations to "displaying" certain data. However, the Examiner notes that nowhere in the claims does Applicant tie the method steps to another statutory class by positively reciting the other statutory class (i.e. computer or processor). Therefore, the method steps are still directed to a mental process.

Claims 2-28, 30-49 are rejected on the same basis as claims 1, 29 and 50 since the dependent claims do not correct the deficiency of claims 1, 29 and 50.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kemp, II et al. (called "Kemp" herein after), U.S. Patent No. 7,389,268 (reference A in attached PTO-892) in view of Robertson et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,596,347 (reference B in attached PTO-892).

5. As per claim 1, Kemp teach a method for changing the view of market information relating to a tradeable object being traded in a market on a screen that displays at least one market indicator which can move relative to a value axis, the method comprising:

displaying a view of a value axis on the screen, the value axis having a range of values, each associated with a value of the tradeable object (see Fig. 4; column 35, lines 19-20; where value axis is represented by price axis (Prc));

displaying a display region comprising a plurality of locations on the screen, wherein each location corresponds to one of the values of the value axis (see Fig. 3; where value axis representing Price (Prc) indicates plurality of values);

receiving a command to select a particular location of the plurality of locations via a user input device (see Fig. 6, step 602-606; column 12, lines 49-57; where particular position in display is selected by clicking position by a mouse);

Kemp do not teach in response to receiving command, selecting the particular locations; and automatically triggering change of the view of the value axis, in response to the selection of the particular location, such that a value of the selected particular location selected is moved to a predetermined location on the screen.

Reisman teaches in response to receiving command, selecting the particular locations; and automatically triggering a change of view of the value axis, in response to the selection of the particular location (Reisman, paragraph [0175])

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include in response to receiving command, selecting the particular locations; and automatically triggering a change of view of the value axis, in response to the selection of the particular location of Kemp because Reisman teaches including above features would enable user to cause the desired presentation action (Reisman, paragraph [0175]).

6. As per claim 2, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim 1 as described above. Kemp further teach the method wherein

before the selection the plurality of values on the value axis represents a first view of the market (see Fig. 3, column "Prc").

7. As per claim 3, Kemp in view Reisman teaches teach claim 2 as described above. Kemp further teach the method wherein

after the selection another plurality of values on the value axis represent a second view of the market (see Fig. 12 B, column 21, lines 8-39; where clicking of sell or offer price present different view quantities in view).

8. As per claim 4, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim 3 as described above. Kemp further teach the method wherein

the first view and the second view both include the selected value (see Fig. 12B where selected values are sell or offer prices).

Art Unit: 3691

As per claim 5, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim 1 as described above.
 Kemp further teach the method comprising

dynamically displaying at least one market indicator in the display region, wherein the at least one market indicator corresponds to a specific value on the value axis (see Fig. 11A, column 17, lines 58-64; column 18, lines 22-36).

As per claim 6, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim 5 as described above.
 Kemp further teach the method comprising,

in response to the command, moving the at least one market indicator to a new location in association with the specific value (see column 18, lines 50-67).

11. As per claim 7, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim 1 as described above. Kemp further teach the method wherein

a plurality of values on the value axis are displayed (see Fig. 12A; where plurality price values are indicated in column 1200).

12. As per claim 8, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim1 as described above. Kemp further teach the method wherein

the values along the value axis comprise prices (see Fig. 12A, column 1200).

13. As per claim 9, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim 1 as described above. Kemp further teach the method comprising

displaying two indicators relating to an inside market of the tradeable object, the at least two indicators each being displayed in one of the plurality of locations of the display region and in association with the plurality of values on the value axis (see Fig. 11A, Inside Market Indicator, Dynamic Indicator).

Art Unit: 3691

14. As per claim 10, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim 9 as described above. Kemp further teach the method wherein the two indicators comprise

a best bid indicator representing a highest bid price currently available in the market for the tradeable object and a best ask indicator representing a lowest ask price currently available in the market for the tradeable object (see Fig. 11A, 14A; column 35, lines 24-27; where inside market indicator include best ask and lowest ask indicators).

15. As per claim 11, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim 9 as described above. Kemp further teach the method comprising

displaying additional indicators representing additional market information in the plurality of locations of the display region in association with the plurality of values on the value axis (see Fig, 11A and 11B; dynamic indicator; Fig. 13A/13B, thermometer indicator; Fig. 14A/14B, auto scalper indicators).

16. As per claim 12, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim 1 as described above. Kemp further teach the method wherein

the value axis comprises a static value axis (see Fig.3-5, column 11-17; column 26, line 1-5).

17. As per claim 13, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim 12 as described above. Kemp further teach the method wherein

the at least one market indicator is based on an exchange order book and wherein the values on the value axis do not move in response to a price change in the exchange order book relating to one of the plurality of values on the value axis, unless a command to change the view of the value axis is received (see column 6, lines 37-46).

Art Unit: 3691

18. As per claim 14, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim 1 as described above. Kemp further teach the method comprising

the step of in response to a centering command, changing the view of the value axis such that an item of interest is displayed to a location approximately centered with respect to the plurality of values on the value axis (see Fig. 16A; column 4, lines 39-43; column 25, lines 64-67 to column 26, lines 1-3).

19. As per claim 15, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim 14 as described above. Kemp further teach the method wherein

the item of interest comprises an inside market of the tradeable object (Fig. 16A, Inside Market Indicator; column 27, lines 4-9).

20. As per claim 16, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim 14 as described above. Kemp further teach the method comprising

displaying a region for receiving a centering command (see Fig. 16A/16B; column 26, lines 18-39; where region for receiving centering command are inside market and Last traded Price (LTP)).

21. As per claim 17, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim 14 as described above. Kemp further teach the method wherein

the centering command comprises a signal from a single action of a user input device (see Fig. 16B, column 27, lines 9-16).

22. As per claim 18, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim 1 as described above. Kemp further teach the method wherein

Art Unit: 3691

the display region is displayed in a window (see Fig. 16A, Inside Market Indicator (606), LTP Indicator (1600)).

23. As per claim 19, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim 1 as described above. Kemp further teach the method wherein

the display region comprises a bid display region and an ask display region (see Fig. 16A, Buy Quantity column (1608), Sell Quantity Column (1610)).

24. As per claim 20, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim 19 as described above. Kemp further teach the method wherein

the bid display region comprises a plurality of locations, each location associated with a different one of the plurality of values on the value axis and the ask display region comprises a plurality of locations, each location associated with a different one of the plurality of values on the value axis (see Fig. 3-5; Fig. 16A; where bid and ask display region comprises plurality of locations with respect to value axis (Prc)).

25. As per claim 21, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim 20 as described above. Kemp further teach the method wherein

the bid and ask display regions each comprise a column with a plurality of cells and wherein the bid and ask display regions are displayed as a grid such that the cells of each column are aligned (see Fig. 16A).

26. As per claim 22, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim 1 as described above. Kemp further teach the method comprising

Art Unit: 3691

the step of displaying an order entry region comprising a plurality of locations for receiving commands to send orders, each location corresponding to one of the plurality of values on the value axis (see Fig. 5; column 11, lines 1-34).

27. As per claim 23, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim 22 as described above. Kemp further teach the method wherein

in response to a selection of a particular location of the order entry region by a single action of a user input device, setting a plurality of parameters for an order relating to the tradeable object and sending the order to the electronic exchange (see Fig. 6; column 12, lines 28-48).

28. As per claim 24, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim 22 as described above. Kemp further teach the method wherein

the order entry region and the display region overlap (see Fig. 3-5; column 11, lines 1-11; where trader enters the trade by clicking BidQ and AskQ in the display region).

29. As per claim 25, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim 1 as described above. Kemp further teach the method wherein

the at least one market indicator comprises a dynamically changing number (see fig. 11A; column 19, lines 3-20; where market indicator "Fair Value Analysis" (average price) is monitored by dynamic indicator).

30. As per claim 26, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim 1 as described above. Kemp further teach the method wherein

Art Unit: 3691

the predetermined location comprises a location approximately centered with respect to the plurality of values on the value axis (see Fig. 19A/19B).

31. As per claim 27, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim 1 as described above. Kemp further teach the method wherein

the selection of the particular location is made from a single action of a user input device (see Fig. 6; step 604).

32. As per claim 28, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim 27 as described above. Kemp further teach the method wherein

the single action of the user input device comprises a mouse click (see Fig. 6, step 610).

33. As per claim 29, Kemp teach a method of displaying market information relating to a tradeable object being traded on an electronic exchange, the method comprising:

displaying a display region on a screen, the display region comprising a plurality of locations, each location associated with a value level along a value axis, wherein the display region is associated with a first portion of the value axis (see Fig. 3; where value axis representing Price (Prc) indicates plurality of values); ;

displaying a plurality of indicators representing market information related to the tradeable object in the display region, each of the plurality of indicators being displayed in association with the portion of the value axis (see Fig. 16A; Inside Market Indicator (1606), LTP indicator (1600);

Art Unit: 3691

Kemp do not teach in response to a selection of a particular location of the display region by a user input device, automatically triggering a change in display of the display region according to repositioning the value axis such that the display region is associated with a second portion of the value axis and the value level associated with the selected particular location is displayed at a predetermined location with respect to the second portion of the value axis in the display region.

Reisman teaches in response to receiving command, selecting the particular locations; and automatically triggering a change of view of the value axis, in response to the selection of the particular location (Reisman, paragraph [0175]).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include in response to a selection of a particular location of the display region by a user input device, repositioning the value axis such that the display region is associated with a second portion of the value axis and the value level associated with the selected particular location is displayed at a predetermined location with respect to the second portion of the value axis in the display region of Kemp because Robertson et al. teach including above features would enables to simplify the use by the user (Robertson et al., column 1, lines 36-40).

34. As per claim 30, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim 29 as described above. Kemp further teach the method wherein

the predetermined location comprises a location approximately centered with respect to the second portion of the value axis (see column 25, lines 64-67).

Art Unit: 3691

35. As per claim 31, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim 29 as described above. Kemp further teach the method wherein

the predetermined location comprises a location that is a designated number of locations from the selected particular location (see Fig. 3-5; where predetermined location are different values of prices).

- 36. As per claim 32, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim 29 as described above. Claim 32 is rejected under same rational as claim 8 described above.
- 37. As per claim 33, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim 29 as described above. Claim 33 is rejected under same rational as claim 12 described above.
- 38. As per claim 34, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim 29 as described above. Kemp further teach the method wherein

the plurality of indicators comprise at least two indicators relating to an inside market of the tradeable object (see Fig, 16A, Inside Market Indicator (1606), LTP Indicator (1600)).

39. As per claim 35, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim 24 as described above. Kemp further teach the method comprising the steps of:

receiving a centering command; and in response to the centering command, repositioning the value axis such that the display region is associated with a third portion of the value axis and an item of interest is displayed at a location approximately centered with respect to the third portion of the value axis (column 25, lines 64-67; column 1-17; trading application reposition any item of interest with respect to value axis).

Art Unit: 3691

40. As per claim 36, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim 35 as described above. Claim 36 is rejected under same rational as claim 15 described above.

- 41. As per claim 37, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim 35 as described above. Claim 37 is rejected under same rational as claim 16 described above.
- 42. As per claim 38, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim 35 as described above. Claim 38 is rejected under same rational as claim 17 described above.
- 43. As per claim 39, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim 38 as described above. Claim 39 is rejected under same rational as claim 18 described above.
- 44. As per claim 40, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim 38 as described above. Claim 40 is rejected under same rational as claim 19 described above.
- 45. As per claim 41, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim 40 as described above. Claim 41 is rejected under same rational as claim 20 described above.
- 46. As per claim 42, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim 41 as described above. Claim 42 is rejected under same rational as claim 21 described above.
- 47. As per claim 43, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim 29 as described above. Kemp further teach the method wherein

the plurality of indicators comprises a best bid indicator representing a highest bid price currently available in the market and a best ask indicator currently available in the market (see Fig. 11A, Inside Market Indicator).

48. As per claim 44, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim 43 as described above. Kemp further teach the method wherein

the step of displaying a plurality of indicators comprises displaying the best bid indicator at a first location associated with a first value level along the value axis and displaying the best ask indicator at a second location associated with a second value level along the value axis (see Fig. 3; column 8, lines 44-49; where best bid and best ask for given value axis is indicated by different color that traders can quickly distinguish).

49. As per claim 45, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim 44 as described above. Kemp further teach the method wherein

the step of repositioning comprises displaying the best bid indicator at a third location associated with the first value level along the value axis and displaying the best ask indicator at a fourth location associated with the second value level along the value axis (see column 25, lines 64-67 to column 26, lines 1-5).

- 50. As per claim 46, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim 29 as described above. Claim 46 is rejected under same rational as claim 22 described above.
- 51. As per claim 47, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim 46 as described above. Claim 47 is rejected under same rational as claim 23 described above.
- 52. As per claim 48, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim 46 as described above. Claim 48 is rejected under same rational as claim 24 described above.
- 53. As per claim 49, Kemp in view of Reisman teaches claim 29 as described above. Claim 49 is rejected under same rational as claim 27 described above.
- 54. As per claim 50, Kemp teach a method for repositioning market information relating to a tradeable object being traded in an electronic exchange having an inside

Art Unit: 3691

market with a highest bid price and a lowest ask price on a screen, the method comprising:

dynamically displaying a first indicator in one of a plurality of locations in a bid display region, each location in the bid display region corresponding to a value level along a common value axis, the first indicator representing quantity associated with at least one order to buy the tradeable object at the highest bid price currently available in the market; dynamically displaying a second indicator in one of a plurality of locations in an ask display region, each location in the ask display region corresponding to a value level along the common value axis, the second indicator representing quantity associated with at least one order to sell the tradeable object at the lowest ask price currently available in the market (see Fig. 16A; column 27, lines 3-9; where inside market indicates highest buy price and lowest sell price for quantities currently available in the market).

displaying the bid and ask display regions in relation to value levels positioned along the common value axis such that at least one of the first and second indicators moves in the bid or ask display regions relative to the common value axis in response to changes in the inside market (see Fig. 16A, Inside market Indicator; column 17, lines 59-67 to column 18, lines 1-5; where indicators provide visual indication of prices for bid and ask quantity column)

receiving a command to select a particular location of the bid display region or the ask display region, wherein the particular location corresponds to a value level along the common value axis (see Fig. 6, steps 626-628, 606-610).

Art Unit: 3691

Kemp do not teach in response to receiving the command, selecting particular location and automatically triggering a change of the view of the view and display regions, in response to the selection of the particular location, such that the particular location is axially moved to a predetermined position on the screen and the first and second indicators are moved in association with the particular value along the common value axis.

Reisman teaches in response to receiving command, selecting the particular locations; and automatically triggering a change of view of the value axis, in response to the selection of the particular location (Reisman, paragraph [0175]).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include in response to receiving command, selecting the particular locations; and automatically triggering a change of view of the value axis, in response to the selection of the particular location of Kemp because Reisman teaches including above features would enable user to cause the desired presentation action (Reisman, paragraph [0175]).

Response to Arguments

55. New grounds of rejection of claims necessitated by Applicant's amendment have been established in the instant application. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

56. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosures. The following are pertinent to current invention, though not relied upon:

Brumfield et al. (U.S. Patent No. 7,228,289) teach system and method for trading and displaying market information in an efficient trading environment.

Friesen et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,993,504) teach user interface for semi-fungible trading.

Hollerman et al. (U.S. Pub No. 5,799,287) teach system and method for displaying option market information.

Art Unit: 3691

Kemp et al. (U.S. Pub No. 2002/0099644) teach click-based trading with intuitive grid display of market depth and price consolidation.

Langridge (U.S. Pub No. 2005/0108653) teaches customizable trading display of market data.

Robertson et al., U.S. Patent No.5,596,347) teach system and method for computer cursor control.

Schluetter (U.S. Pub 2005/0125328) teaches method and system for displaying a cursor on a trading screen.

Singer (U.S. Pub No. 2006/0259398) teaches method and interface for consolidating price levels on a trading screen.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bijendra K. Shrestha whose telephone number is (571) 270-1374. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM-4:30 PM (Monday-Friday); 2nd Friday OFF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3691

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Alexander Kalinowski/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3691

BKS/3691 03/10/2009